

Family Name	Dawson
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1286207
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Dawson
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1286207
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I often use this green belt land (Hazelhurst Farm) to walk my dog, its an area with a variety of wildlife which I personally love to see it is somewhere to relax and get away from the stresses that life can throw at you.</p> <p>This proposed development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraph 99 of the national policy framework. The site of the proposed development is existing open space and none of the following apply;</p> <p>a. an assessment has not been undertaken which clearly shows that the open space is surplus to requirements. The open space is not surplus to requirements;</p> <p>b. it has not been demonstrated that the loss of green belt resulting from the development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision for the community in this area in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; and</p> <p>c. the proposed development is not for alternative sports and recreation provision.</p> <p>Negative Impact on Local Wildlife: the PFE2021 in relation to the proposed development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 120b and 174b of the framework. A variety of wildlife use/live on the site. The site performs several local functions; a home for wildlife, an area to walk for local people and also the land is used for food production.</p> <p>Destruction of green belt; I refer to paragraphs 137 of the framework. It does not recognise the importance of the site of the proposed development to prevent urban sprawl. I refer to paragraphs 140 and 141 of the framework. The PFE2021 does not meet the threshold for exceptional circumstances which justify the alteration of the boundaries of the green belt at the site. I refer to paragraph 145. even if the green belt were reduced in size the PFE2021 does not explain how the local planning authority have planned for the positive use of the remaining green belt.</p>

Increased flooding risk; the PFE2021 in relation to the proposed development is not consistent with national policy; I refer to paragraphs 159 - 169 of the framework. The area around the site of the proposed development is prone to flooding. I note the council acknowledges the risk of surface water flooding.

Increased Traffic Congestion; the PFE2021 in relation to the proposed development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraphs 104 and 105 of the framework. Which acknowledges that members of the public may access the stops on the A580 for the Leigh/Salford/Manchester rapid transit service to access employment etc however it does not acknowledge that members of the public may not choose to use public transport. This area suffers from heavy traffic congestion due to, among other things, its close proximity to two major roads;

a. the A580

b. the M60

Which are both subjected to grid lock on a daily basis, the addition to another 400 dwellings will only add to this.

Furthermore the access points to the proposed development can only be through one of the existing cul-de-sac roads off Hazelhurst Road which is already deeply congested and many cars have to be parked on the road, this is not consistent with the national policy, I refer to paragraph 112(c) of the framework.

Increased Air and Noise Pollution; the PFE2021 in relation to the proposed development is not consistent with the national policy, I refer to paragraph 93, 104(d) and 105 of the framework. This area has a high level of air pollution and noise pollution. This greenbelt area acts as a much needed buffer for the air and noise pollution. Reducing this green belt land does not take into account and support the delivery of local strategies to improve the health for the community.

Lack of Suitable Infrastructure; the PFE2021 in relation to the proposed development is not consistent with national policy. I refer to paragraph 93 of the framework.. The PFE2021 does not address how the use of shared spaces, community facilities, access to local GPs and dentists will all be enhanced.

I strongly feel this proposal fails to comply with everything and its purely detrimental to Worsley.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I strongly disagree to the development, hence no modifications, it would be shocking if this happens as it would have such negative consequences to the area.
Family Name	Dawson
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1286207
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>As you are aware this site is a wildlife corridor and again is green belt land. I often walk my dog on this land and a variety of wildlife can be seen from deer, fox, owls. It is used daily by the local community, due to the RHS development this is now one of the only larger green spaces left in Boothstown that you can enjoy.</p> <p>More houses will only create more road congestion, the roads are already subjected to grid lock on a daily basis, this development will also contribute to yet more air pollution, which already has some of the most polluted air in the country, which is affecting everyone"s health.</p> <p>It is also used for hay which is collected at least 3 times a year which helps support local farmers to feed their animals.</p> <p>This development is also only going to be used for upmarket homes, is this worth taking the last remaining green spaces in Boothstown.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>It will never be legally compliant, how can taking the last green space in Boothstown to build upmarket homes be legal.</p> <p>This is greed and money orientated business, with no thought to the people in the local community.</p>
Family Name	Dawson
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1286207
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>This land was designated green belt and is a corridor for wildlife, deer use this land to access other green belt land, birds of prey could be seen daily on this land before the housing developments started. Hopefully if this land does not get built on they may return.</p> <p>This is not legally compliant due to the road infrastructure this development would contribute to more congestion on probably some of the busiest roads in the country, they will also contribute to the air pollution.</p> <p>More people within a community with not enough Doctors and Dentists.</p> <p>The development would contribute to a mass of housing developments all merging with no green space for people"s well being.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Green spaces need to be left to benefit the community and in turn support our ever depleting wildlife, our environment is precious and we do not need a concrete community, we need some green space between, this has been the fundamental aim of green belt policy to prevent urban sprawl, this appears not to be happening.</p> <p>A football field which is going to be left is not what I call green space and does not support any wildlife.</p>